

UNITED STATE: ÆPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/563,642 11/28/95 JOSEPH Д 1151-002 FXAMINER 33M1/0113 STANDLEY & GILCREST CARTER, R SUITE 500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 555 METRO PLACE NORTH DUBLIN OH 43017 3311 DATE MAILED: 01/13/97 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS **OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY** Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire______ month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) ___ is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claims ____ are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _ _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ ____is approved disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: _ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachprent(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification fails to disclose the "means responsive to the reported comparisons for allocating staff resources in a medical facility" specified in claim 4. In light of the current nature of the specification, the reported comparisons alone are used to allocate staff resources (pg.7, lns. 17-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The missing subject matter is described above in the objection to the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:



Serial Number: 08/563,642

Art Unit:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Selker.

 Selker discloses a risk management system for use with chest pain patients, comprising means for entering, storing, and retrieving chest pain patient information, means for comparing the chest pain patient information to an empirically based mathematical model of actual clinical experience, and means for arriving at and categorizing a resultant report based on the patient information and the mathematical model. Further patient information is solicited by the system when the resultant report falls out of a preselected range, so as to enhance medical evaluation of the chest pain patients.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Selker.

 Based upon the background discussion in Selker which deals with the implementation of risk management procedures to make sure that medical malpractice does not occur, it would have

Serial Number: 08/563,642 Page 4

Art Unit:

been obvious to one skilled in the art to effect the stated methodology of the claims, in order to improve risk management.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ryan Carter at telephone number (703) 308-2990.

LEE S. COHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3300